April 21, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704

MDR Tracking #: M2-03-0743-01 IRO #: has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO. has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed. The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed DO with a specialty and board certification in Neurological Surgery. The health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute. **CLINICAL HISTORY** is a 50-year-old gentleman at this time. He has a long history of low back problems going back to , and has had several different surgical approaches to the lower lumbar area. He continues to have chronic low back pain, along with some pain radiating into the lower extremities. MRIs, discograms and CT myelograms have been performed, and he has been under the treatment of who has requested epidural steroid injections for this patient. The reviewer finds nothing in his chart to indicate that he has had epidurals in the past. The chart indicates that he has been placed on Medrol dose packs, but there is not mention of their effectiveness.

REQUESTED SERVICE

Lumbar epidural steroid injections X1 with fluoroscopy and epiduragram are requested for this patient.

DECISION

The reviewer disagrees with the prior adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The reviewer finds that this patient should be allowed to proceed with the requested
services. This is always done with fluoroscopy an epidurograms, per literature support.
This decision is based on two recent studies published in "SPINE" titled Epidural Steroid
Injections and Lumbar Sacral Radiculopathy, with good outcome in the percentage of
patients, and also in nonoperataive treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis, a SPINE 2000
article suggests that they are useful. The reviewer was unable to determine any time
duration at which they are no longer helpful, as was the rejection by the previous
reviewer who stated that ten years is too long. The reviewer finds nothing in
literature to support that claim, and therefore finds that this is indeed a reasonable
treatment for this individual.
has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.
Sincerely,

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

In the case of prospective *spinal surgery* decision, a request for a hearing must be made in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 days of your receipt of this decision. (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

In the case of other *prospective (preauthorization) medical necessity* disputes a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Worker's Compensation Commission, P.O. Box 40669, Austin, TX 78704-0012. A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute, per TWCC rule 133.308(t)(2).

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 21st day of April 2003.